

November 2nd, 2024

Senate Health, Education, Labor & Pensions Committee 428 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510-6300 House Education and the Workforce Committee 2176 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515-6100

Dear Chairs Sanders and Foxx, Ranking Members Cassidy and Scott, and Members of Congress,

We, the one hundred and twenty-eight (128) undersigned organizations, stand united in our support for the bipartisan "Transformation to Competitive Integrated Employment Act" (S. 533 / H.R.1263). Broad consensus for TCIEA exists among this letter's varied signatories, representing the interests of disabled people, businesses, employers, state agencies, providers, and families. It is our shared position that there is dignity in work, and that all disabled workers deserve fair pay in integrated employment settings. **We strongly urge you to pass TCIEA during the 118th Congress.**

TCIEA is the rare kind of legislation that is a win-win-win for legislators, state providers, businesses, and disabled people because it would **enable employers to provide good, cost-effective jobs for disabled people, thereby increasing disabled peoples' participation in the workforce and financial independence**. Disabled people want to work. TCIEA will help that goal come to fruition because it provides the grants and technical assistance necessary to both advance opportunities for competitive integrated employment (CIE), as well as to gradually sunset the Section 14(c) program over five years in the Senate bill and four years in the House Bill. The process outlined in this legislation will not harm businesses and state agencies, but as a matter of fact, will help them.

Myths vs. Reality

TCIEA will not force certificate-holding employers to shut down. With the passage of TCIEA, current subminimum wage employers will be provided with the resources to transition their business models to CIE. Businesses can continue to provide goods and services, employ people with disabilities, and secure Medicaid funding for job coaching and other support that their workers need. TCIEA also provides a framework states can use to support disabled individuals whose employers do not choose to transition their business practices. TCIEA helps 14(c) employees find and retain competitive integrated employment (CIE), which may occur at their current place of employment following a program model transformation, or in another CIE setting.

The loudest TCIEA opponents claim that some disabled people are too impaired for any job setting besides sheltered workshops. These opponents believe that eliminating sheltered workshops would result in disabled people losing "the place they go every day" and losing support services. Sheltered workshops, however, exacerbate our impairments and compromise our access to quality support services. Segregated, subminimum wage jobs deprive us of the chance to know what we're capable of when our needs are valued and met. When disabled people are instead given the appropriate accommodations and wraparound services, we often stop demonstrating the behaviors or characteristics that got us (mis)labeled as "low-functioning" or "profoundly disabled" to begin with. Many more of us would be capable of competitive integrated employment (CIE) if we were provided with the reasonable accommodations protected under the ADA, including those that teach and allow us to communicate via augmentative and alternative communication (AAC). Many more of us would be capable of CIE if provided with wraparound services, like occupational and physical therapy, that advance employment outcomes. Disabled people are better positioned to reach our highest potential when we *first* get the fair wages, accommodations, and support services we rightly deserve. We will rise to the occasion when employers, family advocates, and legislators start fulfilling their responsibilities to us. And even if higher expectations do not lead to decreased support

needs over time, disabled people still deserve the right to work in an integrated environment at a fair wage, whether or not we conform to ableist standards.

Disabled people deserve "dignity of risk," which is the belief that individuals with disabilities have the right to the freedom to make their own decisions, which may involve risks. Disabled people deserve the deeply human opportunity to make mistakes, learn, grow, and work toward personally-determined goals, just like individuals without disabilities.

The Business Case for TCIEA

Studies have also shown, time and again, that transitioning to CIE is better for businesses' bottom line. It costs the state's adult services agencies more money to pay for sheltered workshops than to provide employment supports. Sheltered workshops are a type of segregated employment in which disabled employees are kept apart from the rest of the community. Approximately 96% of 14(c) employees are at sheltered workshops.¹

According to a study in the Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation, "Research indicates that employees receiving supported employment services generate lower cumulative costs than employees receiving sheltered workshop services and that whereas the cost-trend of supported employees shifts downward over time, the opposite is the case for individuals receiving sheltered workshop services." Similarly, a meta-analysis of five studies "found no evidence in support of segregation as a method of achieving any meaningful preferred employment outcome— not in CIE, not in wages, not in hours, not in cost, not in quality of life, not in achieving greater independence." Recently, the Washington Post's analysis of eight states that ended their programs before 2022 showed that "employment rates for adults with cognitive disabilities increased by at least 14 percent after state programs were canceled, when adjusted for overall employment rate growth."

Over time, TCIEA could reduce the number of people relying on government assistance. People earning subminimum wage are at a higher risk of living in poverty, even if they are also receiving social security and other forms of financial support. This means that even high-cost government assistance programs are not enough to break many disabled people out of the cycle of poverty. Nearly half of working-age disabled people had annual household incomes under \$30k.⁵ And there is a 25.9% poverty rate for working-age disabled people who live in the community, which is more than double the poverty rate for their non-disabled counterparts.⁶ Having the opportunity to make at least minimum wage, while certainly not enough to cover the cost of living, would be a step in the right direction toward financial self-sufficiency.

TCIEA will help states and businesses meet their legal obligations. **Federal guidance is clear that individuals with disabilities must be able to live, work, and receive services in the most integrated setting appropriate to their needs.** The Department of Justice's 2024 investigation of Utah and DOJ's 2022 settlement with Oregon are enforcement efforts that demonstrate that the agency stands by

⁴ Some disabled workers in the U.S. make pennies per hour. It's legal. (2024, August 30). *The Washington Post*. https://www.washingtonpost.com/wellness/2024/08/30/subminimum-wage-disabled-workers/

¹ The Association of People Supporting Employment First (APSE). (2023). *Trends and Current Status of 14(c)*. https://apse.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/APSE-14c-Update-REV-0723.pdf

² Cimera, R. E. (2008). The cost-trends of supported employment versus sheltered employment. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, 28(1), 15-20. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Robert-Cimera/publication/230853211_The_cost-trends_of_supported_employment_versus_sheltered_employment_versus_sheltered_employment.pdf

³ Taylor, J. P., Avellone, L., Wehman, P., & Brooke, V. (2023). The efficacy of competitive integrated employment versus segregated employment for persons with disabilities: A systematic review. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, *58*(1), 63-78. https://content.iospress.com/download/journal-of-vocational-rehabilitation/jvr221225?id=journal-of-vocational-rehabilitation%2Fjvr221225

Warren, A., Chege, W., Greene, M., & Berdie, L. (2023). *The Financial Health of People With Disabilities Key Obstacles and Opportunities*. Financial Health Network. https://finhealthnetwork.org/research/the-financial-health-of-people-with-disabilities/

⁶ Paul, S., Rafal, M., & Houtenville, A. (2020). *Annual Disability Statistics Compendium: 2020* (Table 6.3). Durham, NH: University of New Hampshire, Institute on Disability. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED613086.pdf

⁷ U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division. (2023). *Questions and Answers on the Application of the ADA's Integration Mandate and Olmstead v. L.C. to Employment and Day Services for People with Disabilities*. https://www.ada.gov/resources/olmstead-employment-qa/

their guidance.^{8,9} The 14(c) program segregates employees in isolated, disability-specific work settings that limit economic independence, chances for professional advancement, and community interaction.

Employee Testimonies

Many people who were placed in subminimum wage jobs have spoken out about the abusive and harmful nature of these programs. Below are testimonies from two people about their experience in 14(c) certificate-holding sheltered workshop employment settings.

David Pinno - Wisconsin

"I worked in a sheltered workshop from 2003-2011 in Wisconsin. I was paid \$4.28 per hour while at the sheltered workshop. Other people did the same work and got paid minimum wage – a lot more than me. I felt worthless and I was often retaliated against for speaking my mind. I chose to leave the sheltered workshop and pursue work. I ended up working two jobs for \$13 per hour at McDonald's and Goodwill. I would never have been able to be independent and own my own home if I had remained stuck in 14 (c) just because of my disability. 14(c) is wrong and needs to end"

Donna Spears – Louisiana

"Working for less than minimum wage took away my dignity. I worked in Louisiana at a 14(c) sheltered workshop. I worked as a secretary doing hard administrative work for only 45 cents an hour (about 38 dollars a month). I was humiliated when I learned that other workers were paid much more than me for the same work. Worse, some of these people got credit for projects I completed. I decided to leave the sheltered workshop because I knew I could do better. I went back to school and now have two degrees in Psychology. I now work for a fair wage helping people with IDD advocate for themselves. 14(c) has to end. It is wrong because it takes away from the dignity of work and exploits people like me."

Conclusion

The Transformation to Competitive Integrated Employment Act lays the groundwork for both **businesses and their disabled employees to have better opportunities for advancement**. TCIEA offers **realistic alternatives** to the broken¹⁰ system of segregated employment and subminimum wage. The one hundred (100) undersigned organizations endorse the bipartisan "Transformation to Competitive Integrated Employment Act" (S. 533 / H.R.1263), and we strongly urge you to pass TCIEA this congressional session.

Sincerely,

The End Subminimum Wage Coalition

American Association of People with Disabilities (AAPD)

Autistic Self Advocacy Network (ASAN)

National Council on Independent Living (NCIL)

National Association of Councils of Developmental Disabilities (NACDD)

Allied Organizations

Access Alaska, Inc.

Access Center for Independent Living - Ohio

Access Living - Illinois

Access Ready, Inc. - National

Access to Independence, Inc. - Wisconsin

8 U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Public Affairs. (2024). *Justice Department Finds That Utah Violates Federal Civil Rights Law by Segregating People with Disabilities*. https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-finds-utah-violates-federal-civil-rights-law-segregating-people

⁹ U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Public Affairs. (2022). *Justice Department Announces Conclusion of Landmark Agreement Addressing Segregated Work Settings for People with Disabilities*.

https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-announces-conclusion-landmark-agreement-addressing-segregated-work

¹⁰ Government Accountability Office. (2023). *Subminimum Wage Program: DOL Could Do More to Ensure Timely Oversight.* (GAO Publication No. 23-105116). https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-23-105116.pdf

Accessible Resources for Independence, Inc. (ARI) - Maryland

ACHIEVE Human Services, Inc. - Arizona

Aggie Neurodiversity Community - California

Alliance for Expanding America's Workforce - National

Arctic Access Inc. - Alaska

Association of People Supporting Employment First (APSE) - National

Association of Programs for Rural Independent Living (APRIL) - National

Autism Society of America - National

The Autistic People of Color Fund - National

Autistic Women & Nonbinary Network (AWN) - National

Autistry Studios - California

Bay Area Autism Collective - California

Bobby Dodd Institute - Georgia

Boston Center for Independent Living - Massachusetts

Brooklyn Center for Independence of the Disabled (BCID) - New York

Cape Organization for Rights of the Disabled, Inc. - Massachusetts

Caring Across Generations - National

Center for Independence of the Disabled, New York (CIDNY)

Center for Independent Living for Western Wisconsin - Wisconsin

Center for Public Representation - National

Community Options - Multistate (UT, AZ, IA, MD, NH, NJ, NM, NY, PA, SC, TN, TX)

CT State Independent Living Council - Connecticut

Didlake, Inc. - Virginia

Disabilities Resource Center of Siouxland - Iowa

Disability Empowerment Center - Pennsylvania

Disability Law Center - Massachusetts

Disability Law Center of Alaska

Disability Law Center of Utah

Disability Pride Pennsylvania

Disability Rights Arizona

Disability Rights California

Disability Rights Center - New Hampshire

Disability Rights Education & Defense Fund (DREDF) - National

Disability Rights Florida

Disability Rights Iowa

Disability Rights Louisiana

Disability Rights Michigan

Disability Rights Montana

Disability Rights New Jersey

Disability Rights New Mexico

Disability Rights North Carolina

Disability Rights of West Virginia

Disability Rights Ohio

Disability Rights South Carolina

Disability Rights Tennessee

Disability Rights Wisconsin

Eastern Carolina Vocational Center, Inc. (ECVC) - North Carolina

Eggleston Services - Virginia

ENDependence Center of Northern Virginia

EPSI - Texas

Fedcap Rehabilitation Services, Inc. - New York

FREED Center for Independent Living - California

Global Connections to Employment - Florida

Green Mountain Self-Advocates - Vermont

Hawaii Disability Rights Center

Independence Associates, Inc. - Massachusetts

Independent Living Center, Inc - Alaska

Independent Living Center of North Central Ohio, Inc. - Ohio

Independent Living, Inc. – New York

Indiana Disability Rights

Kentucky Protection and Advocacy

Liberty Resources Inc. - Pennsylvania

Little Lobbyists - National

Marc Gold & Associates - National

Marin Center for Independent Living - California

Matrix Parent Network & Resource Center - California

Melwood - Maryland

Microsoft - International

Minnesota Disability Law Center at Mid-Minnesota Legal Aid

National Alliance for Direct Support Professionals, Inc.

National Association of Statewide Independent Living Councils (NASILC)

National Center for Learning Disabilities (NCLD)

National Disability Institute (NDI)

National Disability Rights Network (NDRN)

National Down Syndrome Congress (NDSC)

National Down Syndrome Society (NDSS)

National Organization on Disability (NOD)

National Partnership for Women & Families (NPWF)

Native American Disability Law Center - National

Neurodiversity Network of WNY - New York

New York Association on Independent Living

North Dakota Protection & Advocacy Project

Northcoast Aging and Disability Resource Center (ADRC) - California

Not Dead Yet - National

Ohio Statewide Independent Living Council (SILC)

Oklahoma Disability Law Center

Oregon Statewide Independent Living Council

Pennsylvania Council on Independent Living

PORTCO Inc. - Virginia

Professional Contract Services, Inc. (PCSI) - Texas

Progress Center for Independent Living - Illinois

Proud Parents: Leadership & Encouragement for ALL Parents - Connecticut

Radiant, an LGBTQIA2+ Neurodivergent Group - Pennsylvania

Red Rock Center for Independence - Utah

Resources For Independence Central Valley - California

Roads to Freedom Center for Independent Living (RTFCIL) of North Central Pennsylvania

Roads To Independence - Utah

Rolling Start, Inc. Center for Independent Living - California

Rural Advocates For Independent Living, Inc. - Missouri

Self Advocate Coalition of Kansas (SACK) - Kansas

Self Advocates Becoming Empowered (SABE) - National

Service Center for Independent Life (SCIL) - California

ServiceSource - Multistate, including but not limited to DE, FL, NC, UT, VA

Shared Support Maryland, Inc. - Maryland

Southeast Alaska Independent Living

Southeastern Ohio Center for Independent Living - Ohio

Spa Area Independent Living Services - Arkansas

Statewide Independent Living Council of Alaska

Summit Independent Living - Montana

Suncoast Center for Independent Living Inc - Florida

TASH - National

The Partnership for Inclusive Disaster Strategies - National

The Statewide Independent Living Council of Illinois - Illinois

TRDI - Texas

Tri-County Independent Living, Inc. - California

Western Reserve ILC, Inc - Ohio

WILS - Missouri

Wisconsin Autism Empowerment - Wisconsin

Wisconsin Coalition of Independent Living Centers - Wisconsin